




Speech By
Hon. David Janetzki

MEMBER FOR TOOWOOMBA SOUTH

Record of Proceedings, 19 November 2025

MOTION

Net Zero

 **Hon. DC JANETZKI** (Toowoomba South—LNP) (Treasurer, Minister for Energy and Minister for Home Ownership) (5.35 pm): I move the following amendment—

That all words after 'That this House' be omitted and the following words inserted:

'1. supports the Queensland government's Energy Roadmap.'

This is another example of the Leader of the Opposition bringing Canberra's debate into this House. It is like he has been spending too much time at the Australia Institute and he is just going to bring their talking points in here. Instead of wasting time, the Leader of the Opposition and the opposition should pay attention—

Opposition members interjected.

Mr SPEAKER: Order! The Leader of the Opposition was heard in silence.

Mr JANETZKI: Those opposite should pay attention. We committed to net zero by 2050 before the election and we keep our commitments. The Energy Roadmap says it. The Energy Roadmap shows it. We keep our commitments.

Opposition members interjected.

Mr SPEAKER: Order! One person has the call—just one.

Mr Crisafulli interjected.

Mr SPEAKER: Premier!

Mr JANETZKI: Mr Speaker, those opposite are wasting our time. From here on in, for the rest of this debate, and we have an hour to go, it is, in fact, a test of the opposition because they have some choices to make. I want those opposite to confirm whether they still believe in the Queensland Energy and Jobs Plan and the pumped hydro projects at Borumba and Pioneer-Burdekin. That is their first test tonight. The pumped hydro project at Pioneer-Burdekin went from \$7 billion to \$12 billion to \$18 billion to \$36.8 billion. It failed to gain any public or community support in that region. They forecast that the project would have first energy by 2032 and be a vital part of their emissions reduction plan. It was a pipedream. It was a hoax. Their emissions plan was a hoax on that basis alone. Then we have Borumba that went from \$14 billion to \$18 billion and had challenges with community support.

Do those opposite still support those two projects? Pioneer-Burdekin was more than double the size of Snowy Hydro—1.4 gig larger than any pumped hydro system across the world. Do those opposite still support that and the tens of billions of dollars in blowouts that they had already overseen? Not forgetting Snowy Hydro went from \$2 billion to \$20 billion—a magnitude of 10 times that blowout—what lies ahead for Pioneer-Burdekin and Borumba? Do they still support it—that is their choice—or do they support the Queensland Energy Roadmap that contains Powerlink modelling, is Treasury

supported and has QTC oversight? Do they support our Energy Roadmap, with \$1,035 less in additional costs than they would have had under their plan? That is the test for them. Will they support the Energy Roadmap or back in their Pioneer-Burdekin plan?

We have been clear about our Energy Roadmap. Our Energy Roadmap improves what we have while we build what we need for the future. That is our plan. That is our Energy Roadmap and we have made it clear. Just the other day the member for Mirani and I visited Stanwell, where 324 Tesla Megapack units were being delivered while the coal generator was running. That is the perfect example of our plan for coal for longer, more gas and private sector investment into renewables and storage. We will improve what we have while we build what we need for the future.

What will they decide tonight? What will they support? What choice will they make? It is their test. Do they have the ticker to support our Energy Roadmap—coal for longer, more gas and private sector investment into storage and renewables, with \$1,035 less in system costs—or will they back in higher costs, hope projects, pipeline hydrogen plans and a discredited Queensland energy and jobs plan? It is their choice.

(Time expired)